

A First Look at the Crypto-Mining Malware Ecosystem

A Decade of Unrestricted Wealth and Profit

Sergio Pastrana

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

@THANKS: Slides design by Guillermo Suarez-Tangil



A First Look at the Crypto-Mining Malware Ecosystem: A Decade of Unrestricted Wealth

Sergio Pastrana Universidad Carlos III de Madrid^{*} spastran@inf.uc3m.es Guillermo Suarez-Tangil King's College London guillermo.suarez-tangil@kcl.ac.uk



Abstract—Illicit crypto-mining leverages resources stolen from victims to mine cryptocurrencies on behalf of criminals. While recent works have analyzed one side of this threat, i.e.: web-browser cryptojacking, only white papers and commercial reports have partially covered binary-based crypto-mining malware. In this paper, we conduct the largest measurement of crypto-mining malware to date, analyzing approximately 4.4 million malware has different characterizing features and unique challenges, specially when it comes to devising effective countermeasures. For example, in browser-based cryptojacking the damage ceases when the victim stops browsing the site. Also, users can reduce the threat by restricting the use of JavaScript. Meanwhile, crypto-mining malware entails classical malware-

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1901.00846.pdf

We then analyze publicly-available payments sent to the wallets from mining-pools as a reward for mining, and estimate profits for the different campaigns.

Our profit analysis reveals campaigns with multi-million earnings, associating over 4.3% of Monero with illicit mining. We analyze the infrastructure related with the different campaigns, showing that a high proportion of this ecosystem is supported by underground economies such as Pay-Per-Install services. We also uncover novel techniques that allow criminals to run successful campaigns. AntiVirus-detection using techniques such as *idle mining* (mining only when the CPU is idle) or reducing CPU consumption when monitoring tools (e.g., Task Manager) are running. For readers unfamiliar with the topic, we refer to *Background* in Appendix A for an introduction to cryptocurrency mining and its threats.

Motivation. While illicit crypto-mining has been less notorious than other threats such as ransomware, it poses nonetheless



Background: Blockchain basics

		Done by voluntary miners in exchange for a reward				
	Cryptocurrency mining	Complex mathematical puzzles (PoW)				
		Consumes electricity and deteriorates hardware				
-	Illicit crypto-mining	Uses stolen resources to mine cryptocurrencies for free				
	Tupoc	Web-browser				
L	rypes	Binary-based				
	Crypto-mining malware	A binary-based illicit crypto-mining program operated remotely by a criminal, typically through a botnet				
Packground: Crupto mining Maluyara						
Dackground. Crypto-mining Maiware						

4



The Mining Competition

Is it all about "men power"?

It is for Monero!

Difficulty to mine new blocks

- Depends on the combined computing power
- Botnets can combine a decent amount of power

Problems with botnets

- They usually lack on specialized hardware (e.g., GPUs, FPGAs, or even ASICs)
- They cost money

Botcoin – Yuxing Huang et al. NDSS 2014

 The potential revenue from Bitcoin mining alone is unlikely to cover the costs of a botnet, but may be attractive as a secondary activity for large botnets with already established primary monetization schemes

Things have changed since 2014

Outline

- 1. What are the preferred cryptocurrencies mined by criminals?
- 2. What is the role of the underground economy?
 - What are the tools/techniques adopted?
- 3. What is the level of sophistication used and how does this affect the earnings?
- 4. How many actors are involved in this ecosystem and what are their financial profits?
- 5. Are current countermeasures and intervention approaches effective?



The depths of the Web: where the criminals operate

The Underground Economy

As simple as: Cost(Attack) < Potential Revenue

Costs

- They don't pay electricity
- But they need to infect computers



Underground markets play a key role in the business of **malicious crypto-mining**



Users with few technical skills can easily acquire services and tools to set up their own mining campaign



Forums are used for sharing knowledge

CrimeBB 56M post: Hackforums, Kernelmode, OffensiveCommunity, MPGH, Stresserforums, Greysec,...



START YOUR BOTNET NOW!

The Underground Economy

- Inexpensive and sophisticated
 - The average cost for an encrypted Monero miner is 35\$
 - Free: "Miner is free, we charge a fee of 2%"
 - Vouch copies
- Customized
 - Custom cryptonote miner for \$13
 - Stealthy-related techniques such as idle mining or execution-stalling code
- Support

Status: CLEAN Detections AVG - Clean. Acavir - Clean. ... Avast 5 -Clean.

"The latest update has been released. We have removed all of the net reactor obfuscation and switched it. There is now anti emulation and it is FUD." ⁹



Figure 1: Number of threads (a) and new actors (b) talking about mining of various cryptocurrencies observed underground forums per month

The Underground Economy

Proliferation

Observed 2 common approaches to create crypto-mining malware

- 1. The mining tool is encapsulated into a binary with classical malware capabilities to gain persistence and stealthiness
 - anti-sandbox,
 - anti-VM detection,
 - registry key modifications, etc.
- 2. Instruct existing botnets to download the original mining binary and a configuration file
 - e.g. Set the mining in the background whenever the computer is in idle mode

Take-away: Crypto-mining malware typically rely on open-source tools aimed at benign mining, e.g. XMRig, SRBMiner

The Underground Economy

Not so sophisticated

Methodology



4.4M malware samples: 1.1M miners and ancillary binaries

Methodology

Architecture

Wallets (i.e., public key of a wallet for):						
Monero	2,472	Aeon	58			
Bitcoin	1,585	Sumokoin	18			
zCash	184	Intensecoin	8			
Ethereum	167	Turtlecoin	3			
Electroneum	152	Bytecoin	2			
Mixed			16			
<i>Sub-total</i> 4,633						
Other Identifiers:						
Email 5,024						
Unknown 2,280						
TOTAL 11,887						

Methodology



Pool crypto-pool dwarfpool minexmr prohash monerohash nanopool ppxxmr supportxmr hashvault xmrpool moneropool bohemianpool

Wallets Extracted

Grouping Features

- Common currencies obfuscate transactions
- We cannot rely on public Blockchain data to aggregate different wallets into related campaigns
- Campaigns
 - Collection of samples
 - Common characterizing features

Campaign	#S	#W	Period	XMR	\$	
C#623	62	7	06/16 to [active]*	163,754	18M	→ 22%
C#3039	19	2	06/16 to 10/18	59,620	8M	
C#148	58	1	09/14 to 04/18	32,886	52K	
C#685	105	2	08/14 to 04/18	27,982	283K	
C#1298	91	14	06/16 to [active]*	27,093	2M	
C#7481	6	1	06/16 to 04/18	23,300	1 M	
C#3318	9	1	06/16 to 05/18	22,520	5M	
C#2656	44	1	09/14 to 04/18	21,389	40K	
C#2078	25	1	09/14 to 04/18	20,694	37K	
C#1440	38	1	08/14 to 04/18	19,995	33K	
TOP-10	457	31	14/08/28 - *	419,233	34M	→58%
ALL-2218	62 K	2492	14/08/17 - *	720,461	57M -	

in circulation

Results

Top 10 Campaigns

We have identified about 2K campaigns

We look at contacted domains to learn more about each campaign

- Network evasion:
 - Some samples do not directly use mining pools domains
 - They use domain aliases (i.e. CNAMEs)
- Associate wallets to particular botnets based on C&C
- We have identified 3 botnets operating Monero mining malware:
 - The Evil Miner botnet. We found 4 wallets appearing in 1667 different samples. These have mined a total of 16,863.43 XMR (2,529,514.66 USD)
 - The Jenking botnet. We found 2 wallets appearing in 63 different samples. They have mined a total of 10,942.67 XMR (1,641,400.92 USD)
 - The Xbooster botnet. We found 23 wallets in 839 different samples. They have mined a total of 459.63 XMR (68,944.22 USD)

But not all domains were known

Results

The Freebuf Campaign

	< 100	[100-1k)	[1k-10k)	>10k	ALL
#Campaigns	1,999	151	52	16	2,218
TH	STRUCT	URE			
PPI	1.2%	4.6%	9.6%	12.5%	1.7%
Mining SW	8.2%	16.6%	28.8%	12.5%	9.2%
Both	0.4%	2.6%	5.8%	0.0%	0.7%
	STEALI	Н ТЕСН	NIQUES		
Obfuscation	4.2%	4.6%	3.8%	0.0%	4.1%
CNAMEs	0.4%	4.6%	9.6%	25.0%	1.1%
Proxies	2.7%	6.0%	3.8%	18.8%	3.0%
	TIVITY				
+ Apr-18	18.9%	53.0%	50.0%	37.5%	22.0%
+ Oct-18	8.9%	25.8%	23.1%	25.0%	10.5%
Start: 2014	0.1%	3.3%	11.5%	43.8%	0.1%
Start: 2015	0.2%	0.7%	3.8%	12.5%	0.2%
Start: 2016	4.9%	27.8%	38.5%	37.5%	4.4%
Start: 2017	32.1%	54.3%	46.2%	6.2%	28.9%
Start: 2018	62.7%	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	56.5%
Years: 0	67.2%	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	60.6%
Years: 1	30.7%	60.9%	46.2%	6.2%	27.7%
Years: 2	1.9%	24.5%	38.5%	18.8%	1.7%
Years: 3	0.2%	2.0%	5.8%	25.0%	0.2%
Years: 4	0.1%	1.3%	9.6%	50.0%	0.0%

We look at the difference between successful and non-successful campaigns

We analyze

- 1. The use of 3P infrastructure
 - Pay-Per-Install
 - Stock mining tools
- 2. The use of stealthy techniques
- 3. The period of activity

What are medium actors doing?

- Use known packers
- Use known mining software
- Started very recently

What are these wealthy actors doing?

Raise the bar in the **Arms Race**:

- Pay-Per-Install
- CNAMEs
- Proxies
- Avoid using known Packers
- Have been around for some time

Conclusions

01 Preferred cryptocurrency? Monero

02 Underground economy? Plays a key role

- Enables crime (script-kiddies)
- Gives support (PPI, stealthy)
- Fuels other crimes

O3 Actors and Profit?

The core of this illicit business is monopolized by a small number of wealthy actors. 04 Sophistication?

- Obfuscation
- CNAMEs
- Proxies

05

Are current countermeasures and intervention approaches effective?

A First Look at the Crypto-Mining Malware Ecosystem

A Decade of Unrestricted Wealth and Profit

Thanks!

- Audience
- Cambridge Cybercrime Centre
 - Specially Alexander Vetterl
- Virus Total
- minexmr
 - And non-cooperative pools

Sergio Pastrana Portillo

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

@serpastrana

spastran@inf.uc3m.es